Interview Summary	10/661,208	FLOWERS, MARK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven E. Holton	2629	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Steven E. Holton</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Adam Pyonin</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>07 January 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>174</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
reached, or any other comments: Applicant noted that a typographical error was made on the original notice of allowance. Claim 174 was indicated allowable, but the claim was cancelled by the applicant previously and should not have been indicated allowable. A supplemental allowance with a correction will be issued. Also, items on the originally filed IDS will be submitted for review by the Examiner. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	/Bipin Shalwala/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2629	

Application No.

Applicant(s)